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Let $h$ be a positive continuous increasing to $+\infty$ function on $\mathbb{R}$. It is proved that for an arbitrary complex sequence $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ such that $0<\left|\zeta_{1}\right| \leq\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \leq \ldots$ and $\zeta_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, there exists an entire function $f$ whose zeros are the $\zeta_{n}$, with multiplicities taken into account, for which

$$
\ln m_{2}(r, f)=o(N(r)), \quad r \notin E, r \rightarrow+\infty
$$

with a set $E$ satisfying $\int_{E \cap(1,+\infty)} h(r) d r<+\infty$, if and only if $\ln h(r)=O(\ln r)$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$. Here $N(r)$ is the integrated counting function of the sequence $\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ and

$$
m_{2}(r, f)=\left(\left.\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|\ln | f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|^{2} d \theta\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

1. Introduction and results. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be the class of all complex sequences $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ such that $0<\left|\zeta_{1}\right| \leq\left|\zeta_{2}\right| \leq \ldots$ and $\zeta_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For every sequence $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ from the class $\mathcal{Z}$, we denote by $\mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ the class of all entire functions whose zeros are precisely the $\zeta_{n}$. Here a complex number that occurs $m$ times in the sequence $\zeta$ corresponds to a zero of multiplicity $m$, and for each $r \geq 0$ we put

$$
n(r, \zeta)=\sum_{\left|\zeta_{n}\right| \leq r} 1, \quad N(r, \zeta)=\int_{0}^{r} \frac{n(t, \zeta)}{t} d t
$$

Let us set $\mathbb{D}_{R}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<R\}$ for every $R>0$. If $R>0$, then for an arbitrary meromorphic function $f$ in $\mathbb{D}_{R}$ and all $r \in[0, R)$ we denote by $T(r, f)$ the Nevanlinna characteristic function, and

$$
m_{q}(r, f)=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}|\ln | f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right) \|^{q} d \theta\right)^{1 / q}, \quad q \geq 1
$$

For an arbitrary entire function $f$ and each $r \geq 0$, we put $M(r, f)=\max \{|f(z)|:|z|=r\}$. By $L$ denote the class of all positive continuous increasing to $+\infty$ functions on $\mathbb{R}$.
A. A. Goldberg [1] proved the following two theorems.

Theorem A ([1]). Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ be an arbitrary sequence. Then there exists an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \ln M(r, f)=o(N(r, \zeta)), \quad r \notin E, r \rightarrow+\infty \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is an exceptional set of finite logarithmic measure, i.e., $\int_{E \cap(1,+\infty)} d \ln r<+\infty$.
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Theorem B ([1]). Let $\psi \in L$. If $\psi(x)=o(x)$ as $x \rightarrow+\infty$, then there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ and a set $F$ of upper linear density 1, i.e.,

$$
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{r} \int_{F \cap(0, r)} d r=1,
$$

such that for any entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(N(r, \zeta))=o(\ln \ln M(r, f)), \quad r \in F, r \rightarrow+\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following two theorems show that we can make more precise conclusions about the sizes of the sets $E$ and $F$ in Theorems A and B.

Theorem C ([2]). Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ be an arbitrary sequence. Then there exist an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ and a function $\alpha \in L$ such that (1) holds with an exceptional set $E$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E \cap(1,+\infty)} r^{\alpha(r)} d r<+\infty \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem D ([2]). Let $\psi \in L$. If $\lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\psi(x)}{x}=0$, then there exist a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ and a set $F=\cup_{n=0}^{\infty}\left(x_{n} ; y_{n}\right)$ satisfying

$$
1<x_{0}<y_{0}<x_{1}<y_{1}<\ldots, \quad \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln y_{n}}{\ln x_{n}}=+\infty
$$

such that for any entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ we have (2).
Note that Theorem C is also true for the relation

$$
\ln T(r, f)=o(N(r, \zeta)), \quad r \notin E, r \rightarrow+\infty,
$$

instead of (1), because for an arbitrary entire function $f$ and every $r \geq 0$ we obtain

$$
T(r, f):=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \ln ^{+}\left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta \leq \ln ^{+} M(r, f) .
$$

The proof of Theorem D, given in [2], shows that this theorem is true for the relation

$$
\psi(N(r, \zeta))=o(\ln T(r, f)), \quad r \in F, r \rightarrow+\infty
$$

instead of (2). Therefore, Theorem D is also true for the relation

$$
\psi(N(r, \zeta))=o\left(\ln m_{2}(r, f)\right), \quad r \in F, r \rightarrow+\infty,
$$

instead of (2), because for any function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ and each $r \geq 0$ we have

$$
m_{2}(r, f) \geq m_{1}(r, f)=2 T(r, f)-N(r, \zeta)-\ln |f(0)|
$$

In this paper, we will prove the following two theorems.
Theorem 1. Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ be an arbitrary sequence. Then there exist an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ and a function $\alpha \in L$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln m_{2}(r, f)=o(N(r, \zeta)), \quad r \notin E, r \rightarrow+\infty \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E$ is an exceptional set satisfying (3).

Theorem 2. Let $h \in L$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\ln h(r)}{\ln r}=+\infty \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there exists a sequence $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ such that for any function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(r, \zeta)=o\left(\ln m_{2}(r, f)\right), \quad r \in F(f), r \rightarrow+\infty, \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(f)$ is a set satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{F(f) \cap(1,+\infty)} h(r) d r=+\infty . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 2 shows that the function $\alpha \in L$ in Theorem 1 depends on $\zeta$ in general. Therefore, estimate (3) for the size of the exceptional set $E$ in Theorem 1 is exact in a certain sense.

In connection with the above results, the following question arises: is (3) an exact estimate for the size of the exceptional set $E$ in Theorem C? In other words, is it possible to replace $\ln m_{2}(r, f)$ by $\ln \ln M(r, f)$ in Theorem 2? This question remains open.

At the end of the introductory part, we note that some other problems concerning comparisons of the growth of an entire function $f$ to the distribution of its zeros were considered, in particular, in [3]-[10]. We also note that questions regarding the sizes of exceptional sets in various asymptotic relations between characteristics of entire functions were investigated, for example, in [12]-[19].
2. Auxiliary results. We will deduce Theorem 1 from Theorem C by using the following two lemmas.

Lemma 1 ([20]). Let $0<r<R<\varrho$, and let $f$ be a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{D}_{\varrho}$, with $f(0)=1$. Then

$$
m_{2}(r, f) \leq\left(1+8 / \sqrt{\log _{2}(R / r)}\right) T(R, f)
$$

Lemma 2 ([21]). Let $-\infty<x_{0}<a \leq+\infty$, and functions $H(x), u(x)$ and $\varphi(u)$ satisfy the following conditions:

1) $H$ is continuous increasing to $+\infty$ on $\left[x_{0}, a\right)$;
2) $u$ is non-decreasing unbounded on $\left[x_{0}, a\right)$;
3) $\varphi$ is positive non-decreasing unbounded on $\left[u_{0},+\infty\right)$ and $\int_{u_{0}}^{+\infty} \frac{d u}{\varphi(u)}<+\infty$, where $u_{0}=u\left(x_{0}\right)$.

Then for the set

$$
E=\left\{x \in\left[x_{0}, a\right): u\left(H^{-1}\left(H(x)+\frac{1}{\varphi(u(x))}\right)\right) \geq u(x)+1\right\}
$$

we have $\int_{E} d H(x)<+\infty$.
Note that Lemma 2 is a version of the classical Borel-Nevanlinna theorem (see, for example, [11], p. 120) and is easily deduced from this theorem.
3. Proof of Theorems. Proof of Theorem 1. Let $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ be an arbitrary sequence. By Theorem C, there exist an entire function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ and a function $\beta \in L$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln T(r, f)=o(N(r, \zeta)), \quad r \notin E_{1}, r \rightarrow+\infty \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{1}$ is an exceptional set satisfying $\int_{E_{1} \cap(1,+\infty)} r^{\beta(r)} d r<+\infty$. Clearly, we can assume that $f(0)=1$. Let us prove that there exists a function $\alpha \in L$ such that for the function $f$ we have (4) with an exceptional set $E$ satisfying (3).

Since $\ln r=o(N(r, \zeta))$ as $r \rightarrow+\infty$, there exists a function $\eta \in L$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(r) \ln r=o(N(r, \zeta)), \quad r \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We choose some $r_{0}>1$ such that $T\left(r_{0}, f\right)>1$, and consider the set

$$
E_{2}=\left\{r>r_{0}: \ln m_{2}(r, f)>\eta(r) \ln r+2 \ln T(r, f)\right\} .
$$

Fix an arbitrary integer $k \geq 1$ and prove that $\int_{E_{2}} r^{k} d r<+\infty$. For each $r \geq r_{0}$, we put

$$
R(r)=\left(r^{k+1}+\frac{1}{T^{2}(r, f)}\right)^{1 /(k+1)}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln \frac{R(r)}{r}=\frac{1}{k+1} \ln \left(1+\frac{1}{r^{k+1} T^{2}(r, f)}\right) \sim \frac{1}{(k+1) r^{k+1} T^{2}(r, f)}, \quad r \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $H(r)=r^{k+1}$ and $u(r)=T(r, f)$ for all $r \geq r_{0}$, and let $\varphi(u)=u^{2}$ for all $u \geq 1$. Using Lemma 2, we see that, for the set $F=\left\{r \geq r_{0}: T(R(r), f)>T(r, f)+1\right\}$, the estimate $\int_{F} r^{k} d r<+\infty$ holds.

Further, for all sufficiently large $r \notin F$, say for $r \geq r_{1}$, by Lemma 1 and (10) we have

$$
\ln m_{2}(r, f) \leq \ln \left(1+\frac{8 \sqrt{\ln 2}}{\sqrt{\ln (R(r) / r)}}\right)+\ln T(R(r), f) \leq \eta(r) \ln r+2 \ln T(r, f)
$$

that is, $r \notin E_{2}$. Therefore, $E_{2} \subset F \cup\left[r_{0}, r_{1}\right]$, and hence $c_{k}:=\int_{E_{2}} r^{k} d r<+\infty$.
We choose a sequence ( $s_{k}$ ) increasing to $+\infty$ such that $s_{1} \geq r_{0}$ and $s_{k} \geq 2^{k} c_{k+1}$ for every integer $k \geq 1$. It is easy to see that there exists a function $\gamma \in L$ such that $\gamma(r) \leq k$ for all $r \in\left[s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right)$ and every integer $k \geq 1$. Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
\int_{E_{2}} r^{\gamma(r)} d r=\int_{E_{2} \cap\left[r_{0}, s_{1}\right)} r^{\gamma(r)} d r+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{2} \cap\left[s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right)} r^{\gamma(r)} d r \leq \\
\leq \int_{r_{0}}^{s_{1}} r^{\gamma(r)} d r+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \int_{E_{2} \cap\left[s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right)} r^{k} d r \leq \int_{r_{0}}^{s_{1}} r^{\gamma(r)} d r+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{s_{k}} \int_{E_{2} \cap\left[s_{k}, s_{k+1}\right)} r^{k+1} d r \leq \\
\leq \int_{r_{0}}^{s_{1}} r^{\gamma(r)} d r+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_{k+1}}{s_{k}} \leq \int_{r_{0}}^{s_{1}} r^{\gamma(r)} d r+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^{k}}<+\infty .
\end{gathered}
$$

We set $\alpha(r)=\min \{\beta(r), \gamma(r)\}$ for all $r \in \mathbb{R}$, and let $E=E_{1} \cup E_{2}$. Then $\alpha \in L$ and

$$
\int_{E} r^{\alpha(r)} d r \leq \int_{E_{1}} r^{\beta(r)} d r+\int_{E_{2}} r^{\gamma(r)} d r<+\infty
$$

that is, the set $E$ satisfies (3). In addition, from the definition of the set $E_{2},(9)$, and (8) we see that relation (4) holds.

Proof of Theorem 2. Le $h \in L$ be a function that satisfies (5). We set $l(x)=h(x / e)$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $l \in L$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varlimsup_{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\ln l(r)}{\ln r}=+\infty . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (11) that there exists a sequence $\left(r_{k}\right)$ increasing to $+\infty$ such that $r_{1}>1$, $l\left(r_{1}\right)>1$, and for every integer $k \geq 2$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{k}>e^{2} r_{k-1}, \quad \ln \left(\left[l\left(r_{k}\right)\right]-\left[l\left(r_{k-1}\right)\right]\right)>k l\left(r_{k-1}\right) \ln r_{k} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here and further, for a number $x \in \mathbb{R},[x]$ denotes the largest integer not greater than $x$.
For all integers $k \geq 1$, we put $n_{k}=\left[l\left(r_{k}\right)\right]$. It is clear that $\left(n_{k}\right)$ is an increasing sequence of positive integers. Let $m_{1}=n_{1}$, and let $m_{k}=n_{k}-n_{k-1}$ for each integer $k \geq 2$. Note that $\sum_{j=1}^{k} m_{j}=n_{k}$ for an arbitrary integer $k \geq 1$.

Let us form the sequence $\zeta=\left(\zeta_{n}\right)$ as follows $\underbrace{r_{1}, \ldots, r_{1}}_{m_{1} \text { times }}, \underbrace{r_{2}, \ldots, r_{2}}_{m_{2} \text { times }}, \ldots, \underbrace{r_{k}, \ldots, r_{k}}_{m_{k} \text { times }}, \ldots$, that is, we set $\zeta_{n}=r_{k}$ for all integers $n \in\left(n_{k}-m_{k}, n_{k}\right]$ and $k \geq 1$. Then $n(r, \zeta)=0$ if $r \in\left[0, r_{1}\right)$, and $n_{\zeta}(r)=n_{k}$ if $r \in\left[r_{k}, r_{k+1}\right)$ for some integer $k \geq 1$.

Consider a function $f \in \mathcal{E}(\zeta)$ and prove that this function satisfies (6) with a set $F(f)$ satisfying (7).

The function $f$ has no zeros in the disk $\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}$, and therefore there exists an analytic function

$$
g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{n} z^{n}
$$

in $\mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}$ such that $f(z)=e^{g(z)}$ for all $z \in \mathbb{D}_{r_{1}}$. Let $r>0$, and let $c_{p}(r)$ be the $p$-th Fourier coefficient of the function $\ln \left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right|$, i.e.

$$
c_{p}(r)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} e^{-i p \theta} \ln \left|f\left(r e^{i \theta}\right)\right| d \theta, \quad p \in \mathbb{Z} .
$$

Then, since all $\zeta_{n}$ are positive, for each integer $p \geq 1$, according to the Poisson-Jensen formula (see, for example, [11, p. 16-17]), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{p}(r)=\frac{1}{2} \alpha_{p} r^{p}+\frac{1}{2 p} \sum_{\left|\zeta_{n}\right|<r}\left(\left(\frac{r}{\zeta_{n}}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{\zeta_{n}}{r}\right)^{p}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (13), for $R>r>0$ we obtain the following equality

$$
c_{p}(R)-\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{p} c_{p}(r)=\frac{1}{2 p} \sum_{r \leq\left|\zeta_{n}\right|<R}\left(\left(\frac{R}{\zeta_{n}}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{\zeta_{n}}{R}\right)^{p}\right)+\frac{1}{2 p} \sum_{\left|\zeta_{n}\right|<r}\left(\left(\frac{\zeta_{n} R}{r^{2}}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{\zeta_{n}}{R}\right)^{p}\right) .
$$

Both terms on the right-hand side of this equality are non-negative, and so we have

$$
\left|c_{p}(R)\right|+\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{p}\left|c_{p}(r)\right| \geq \frac{1}{2 p} \sum_{r \leq\left|\zeta_{n}\right|<R}\left(\left(\frac{R}{\zeta_{n}}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{\zeta_{n}}{R}\right)^{p}\right) .
$$

Since $x^{2}+y^{2} \geq(x+y)^{2} / 2$ for arbitrary real $x$ and $y$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{p}(R)\right|^{2}+\left(\frac{R}{r}\right)^{2 p}\left|c_{p}(r)\right|^{2} \geq \frac{1}{8 p^{2}}\left(\sum_{r \leq\left|\zeta_{n}\right|<R}\left(\left(\frac{R}{\zeta_{n}}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{\zeta_{n}}{R}\right)^{p}\right)\right)^{2} . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now denote by $K$ the set of all integers $k \geq 1$ such that $m_{2}(r, f) \geq \sqrt[4]{m_{k}}$ for all $r \in\left[r_{k} \exp \left(-1 / m_{k}\right), r_{k}\right]$.

Let us first consider the case when the set $K$ is infinite. In this case, we put

$$
F(f)=\bigcup_{k \in K}\left[r_{k} \exp \left(-1 / m_{k}\right), r_{k}\right] .
$$

Since $h\left(r_{k} \exp \left(-1 / m_{k}\right)\right) \geq l\left(r_{k}\right) \geq n_{k}>m_{k}$ for each integer $k \geq 1$, we have

$$
\int_{r_{k} \exp \left(-1 / m_{k}\right)}^{r_{k}} h(r) d r \geq m_{k} r_{k}\left(1-e^{-1 / m_{k}}\right)=(1+o(1)) r_{k}, \quad k \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Therefore, for the set $F(f)$ estimate (7) holds. In addition, if $k \in K$ and $k \geq 2$, then, using the second of inequalities (12), for an arbitrary $r \in\left[r_{k} \exp \left(-1 / m_{k}\right), r_{k}\right]$ we obtain

$$
N(r, \zeta)=\int_{r_{1}}^{r} \frac{n(t, \zeta)}{t} d t \leq n_{k-1} \ln \frac{r}{r_{1}}<\frac{1}{k} \ln m_{k} \leq \frac{4}{k} \ln m_{2}(r, f),
$$

and this implies (6).
Let us now consider the case when the set $K$ is finite. Then for each integer $k \geq k_{1}$ there exists a point $s_{k} \in\left[r_{k} \exp \left(-1 / m_{k}\right), r_{k}\right]$ such that $m_{2}\left(s_{k}, f\right)<\sqrt[4]{m_{k}}$. Put

$$
F(f)=\cup_{k \geq 1}\left[r_{k} \exp \left(1 / m_{k}\right), r_{k} \exp \left(2 / m_{k}\right)\right] .
$$

Since $e^{x}-1>x$ for all $x>0$, for each integer $k \geq 1$ we have

$$
\int_{r_{k} \exp \left(1 / m_{k}\right)}^{r_{k} \exp \left(2 / m_{k}\right)} h(r) d r \geq m_{k} r_{k}\left(e^{2 / m_{k}}-e^{1 / m_{k}}\right)>r_{k},
$$

and therefore, for the set $F(f)$ estimate (7) holds. By (12) we obtain $r_{k} \exp \left(2 / m_{k}\right)<r_{k+1}$ for each integer $k \geq 1$, and in addition $n_{k} \sim m_{k}$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. So, for an arbitrary integer $k \geq k_{2}$, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
N\left(r_{k} e^{2 / m_{k}}, \zeta\right)=\int_{r_{1}}^{r_{k}} \frac{n(t, \zeta)}{t} d t+\int_{r_{k}}^{r_{k} \exp \left(2 / m_{k}\right)} \frac{n(t, \zeta)}{t} d t \leq n_{k-1} \ln \frac{r_{k}}{r_{1}}+\frac{2 n_{k}}{m_{k}} \leq \frac{1}{k} \ln m_{k}+3 . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (14) with $r=s_{k}$ and taking into account that $e^{x}-e^{-x}>2 x$ for all $x>0$, for arbitrary integers $k \geq 1$ and $p \in\left[1, m_{k}\right]$, and for each $R \in\left[r_{k} \exp \left(1 / m_{k}\right), r_{k} \exp \left(2 / m_{k}\right)\right]$ we have

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|c_{p}(R)\right|^{2}+e^{6}\left|c_{p}\left(s_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \geq\left|c_{p}(R)\right|^{2}+\left(\frac{R}{s_{k}}\right)^{2 p}\left|c_{p}\left(s_{k}\right)\right|^{2} \geq \\
\geq \frac{1}{8 p^{2}}\left(\sum_{s_{k} \leq\left|\zeta_{n}\right|<R}\left(\left(\frac{R}{\zeta_{n}}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{\zeta_{n}}{R}\right)^{p}\right)\right)^{2}=\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{8 p^{2}}\left(\left(\frac{R}{r_{k}}\right)^{p}-\left(\frac{r_{k}}{R}\right)^{p}\right)^{2} \geq \\
\geq \frac{m_{k}^{2}}{8 p^{2}}\left(2 p \ln \frac{R}{r_{k}}\right)^{2}=\frac{m_{k}^{2}}{2} \ln ^{2} \frac{R}{r_{k}} \geq \frac{1}{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Therefore, for each $R \in\left[r_{k} \exp \left(1 / m_{k}\right), r_{k} \exp \left(2 / m_{k}\right)\right]$ and all integers $k \geq k_{3}$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
m_{2}^{2}(R, f)=\left|c_{0}(R)\right|^{2}+2 \sum_{p=1}^{\infty}\left|c_{p}(R)\right|^{2} \geq 2 \sum_{p=1}^{m_{k}}\left|c_{p}(R)\right|^{2} \geq 2 \sum_{p=1}^{m_{k}}\left(\frac{1}{2}-e^{6}\left|c_{p}\left(s_{k}\right)\right|^{2}\right) \geq \\
\geq m_{k}-e^{6} m_{2}^{2}\left(s_{k}, f\right)>m_{k}-e^{6} \sqrt{m_{k}}>m_{k} / 2
\end{gathered}
$$

This and (15) imply (6).
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